COMPANY NAME
HSBS Smart

PROJECT TOPIC
CENGAP AIRTIES (Wireless Sensor Network Simulation)

MEMBERS
1250471 Serdar KOÇBEY skocbey@gmail.com
1347244 Burak CANSIZOĞLU burak_cansizoglu@yahoo.com
1347285 Serkan ÇAĞLAR serkancaglar@gmail.com
1298140 Hanifi ÖZTÜRK cengozturk@gmail.com

Group Mail: hsbs_smart@yahoo.com

DESCRIPTION
Simulating a wireless sensor network with AirTies AP-400 and laptop computers.

PROBLEM DEFINITION
Building a wireless sensor network for
- safety of users,
- reducing the cost of maintaining the environment,
- automating tasks that are typically performed in the environment.

Common Features
1. Developing software for simulating sensor environment on laptops
2. Developing protocol for communication between AP-400 and sensors (laptops in our case).
3. Developing protocol for communication between AP-400 and Server.
4. Developing server side software to compute, analyze and producing alerts.
Extra Features
5. Developing an AI module for interpreting the alert issues.
6. Developing an extension module for server side software to prepare weekly and monthly regular reports.

Advanced Features
7. Extending the AI module to create a smart environment which can adjust its members according to acquired knowledge.

ROLES AND GRADE EXPECTATIONS

Roles
Serdar KOÇBEY Project Leader, Initiator, Summarizer
Burak CANSIZOĞLU Initiator, Recorder, Gate Keeper
Serkan ÇAĞLAR Initiator, Optimist, Time keeper
Hanifi ÖZTÜRK Initiator, Devil’s Advocate, Time keeper

Grade Expectations
Serdar KOÇBEY CB-BA
Burak CANSIZOĞLU CB-BA
Serkan ÇAĞLAR CB-BA
Hanifi ÖZTÜRK CB-BA
**RISK PLAN**

Risk Categories: Product size (PS), Business Impact (BU), Customer Related (CR), Process (P), Technology (T), Development Environment (DE), Staff Size (SS), Experience (EX)

Impact: 1-catastrophic, 2-critical, 3-marginal, 4-negligible

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risks</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimates may be very low</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>%67</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardware Failures</td>
<td>P\T</td>
<td>%34</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bankrupting of Customer</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>%1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loosing a group member</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>%25</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOFTWARE MODEL**

Rather than one model, several models can be combined into some sort of hybrid methodology. Disabling some test steps or switching test steps from V-shaped life cycle model can also be useful for this project. General design of the project is obvious so each phase of the project should be implemented as a unique small project. Integration of these components also contains the testing part of these compact results. Each individual component has to be integrated for the future design where developers want to achieve. On the other hand, requirements do not tend to change easily in the long run.