

Sprint Retrospective Document

Date: 28/11/2017

Group name / Project name: Cloudchain

Members:

Abdullah Cem Önem – 2031821

Sinan Erdil – 2098994

Berk Yaşar - 2036333

Ekin Dursun – 2098952

Assistant: Mustafa Levent Eksert

Supervisor: Onur Tolga Şehitoğlu

Sprint 2 Summary

Item ID (from the previous retrospect ive doc)	ID of the related workpackage ID (from the Kick-off doc)	Status	Group's comments	Assistant or supervisor comments
1	WP1	Complete		
2	WP3	Complete		
3	WP2	Complete		
4	WP2	Complete		
5	WP2,3,4	Complete		
6	WP2,3,4	In Progress	The ethereum testbed is taking more time to implement due to less documented parts of the platform is utilized in the project. This issue slightly delayed the deployment of the first demo for Sprint 2.	

Sprint 3 plan

Item ID	ID of the related workpackage (from the Kick-off doc)	Description	Status
1	WP2,3,4	Finalizing Implementation of File Storage without necessitating the identity of a node and any form of central control (Assuming at least one node accepts the file).	Leftover from Sprint 2
2	WP2	Retrieval/Storage of groups of files.	New
3	WP2	Transmission of files in chunks instead of the whole file.	New
4	WP3	Initial strategies to lessen the stored consensus variables on the blockchain.	New
5	WP3	Further improvement of the DHT the file sharing mechanism employs.	New
6	WP2,3,4,6	Implementation enabling users to blacklist certain entities.	New
7	WP2,3,4,6	Enabling the application to employ different file(chunk) distribution schemes.	New
8	WP2,3,4	Storing multiple instances of the same file on different entities.	New

Overall progress (%)

	Sprint 1	Sprint 2	Sprint 3	Sprint 4	Sprint 5
MF1		30			
MF2		20			
MF3		0			
MF4		0			
MF5		60			
MF6		5			
MF7		0			
MF8		90			
MF9		100			
MF10		0			
MF11		0			
MF12		10			

This section will be filled in by your supervisor.

Please grade the items below using the following scale:

1=Poor

2=Minimal

3=Sufficient

4=Above Average

5=Excellent

Criteria	Grade
Progress of the team in this sprint. (Grade percentage: 50%)	
The accuracy of the summary table above (e.g. are the task status declarations correct?). (Grade percentage: 25%)	
Considering the weekly meetings, the attendance and preparation level of the team (i.e. Toplantılara düzenli olarak ve hazır bir şekilde, örneğin bir toplantı gündemi oluşturarak, katıldılar mı?) (Grade percentage: 25%)	