

# Sprint Retrospective Document

Group name / Project name: Cloudchain

Members:

Abdullah Cem Önem – 2031821

Sinan Erdil – 2098994 Berk Yaşar - 2036333

Ekin Dursun – 2098952

Assistant: Mustafa Levent Eksert

Supervisor: Onur Tolga Şehitoğlu

## Sprint 3 summary

Item ID (from the previous retrospect ive doc)	ID of the related workpackage ID (from the Kick-off doc)	Status	Group's comments	Assistant or supervisor comments
1	WP2,3,4	Complete		
2	WP2	Discarded (was not on the initial plan)	After discussion, this feature was not found critical for the functionality of the application and discarded to save development costs/time.	
3	WP2	In Progress	Integrity of the file after joining the chunks and reliability in transmission of the chunks were much more difficult problems than expected. This caused a delay in implementation.	

4	WP3	Complete		
5	WP3	In Progress	New coming nodes can notify all the nodes after this sprint, but other improvements can be made.	
6	WP2,3,4,6	Complete		
7	WP2,3,4,6	In Progress	Same as 3.	
8	WP2,3,5	Not started	3 and 7 took too much time to attack this task.	

## Sprint 4 plan

Item ID	ID of the related workpackage (from the Kick-off doc)	Description	Status
1	WP2	Transmission of files in chunks instead of the whole file.	Leftover from Sprint 3
2	WP3	Further improvement of the DHT the file sharing mechanism employs.	Leftover from Sprint 3
3	WP2,3,4,6	Enabling the application to employ different file(chunk) distribution schemes.	Leftover from Sprint 3
4	WP2,3,4	Storing multiple instances of the same file on different entities.	Leftover from Sprint 3
5	WP3,5	Implementation of signed contracts for file storage logs.	New
6	WP2,5	Building a basic encryption framework for files.	New

## Overall progress

	Sprint 1	Sprint 2	Sprint 3	Sprint 4	Sprint 5
MF1		30	60		
MF2		20	30		
MF3		0	0		
MF4		0	0		
MF5		60	60		
MF6		5	5		
MF7		0	0		
MF8		90	100		
MF9		100			
MF10		0	0		
MF11		0	0		
MF12		10	10		

This section will be filled in by your supervisor.

Please grade the items below using the following scale:

1=Poor

2=Minimal

3=Sufficient

4=Above Average

5=Excellent

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Grade</b>
Progress of the team in this sprint. (Grade percentage: 50%)	
The accuracy of the summary table above (e.g. are the task status declarations correct?). (Grade percentage: 25%)	
Considering the weekly meetings, the attendance and preparation level of the team (i.e. Toplantılara düzenli olarak ve hazır bir şekilde, örneğin bir toplantı gündemi oluşturarak, katıldılar mı?) (Grade percentage: 25%)	